

4

In the Matter of the Mortgage Banker License of:

PACIFIC COAST MORTGAGE, INC. #1, AND ZACHARY P. ARNOLD, PRESIDENT

6991 East Camelback Road, Suite C-250 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Petitioners.

No. 09F-BD006-BNK

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 6-137, 6-138, and 41-1092.02, the above-captioned matter will be heard through the Office of Administrative Hearings, an independent agency, and is scheduled for September 24, 2008 at 9:00 a.m., at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona, (602) 542-9826 (the "Hearing").

The purpose of the Hearing is to determine if grounds exist for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Petitioners to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Petitioners' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-945; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage bankers pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-138, the Superintendent of Financial Institutions for the State of Arizona (the "Superintendent") delegates the authority vested in the Superintendent, whether implied or expressed, to the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings or the director's designee to preside over the Hearing as the Administrative Law Judge, to make written recommendations to the Superintendent consisting of proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. The Office of Administrative Hearings has designated Brian Tully at the address and phone number listed above, as the Administrative Law Judge for these proceedings. Pursuant to Arizona Administrative

1

1

3

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Code ("A.A.C.") Rule 2-19-104 and A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.01(H)(1) and 41-1092.08, the Superintendent retains authority to enter orders granting a stay, orders on motions for rehearing, final decisions pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.08 or other order or process which the Administrative Law Judge is specifically prohibited from entering.

Motions to continue this matter shall be made in writing to the Administrative Law Judge **not** less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for the Hearing. A copy of any motion to continue shall be mailed or hand-delivered to the opposing party on the same date of filing with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

A.R.S. § 41-1092.07 entitles any person affected by this Hearing to appear in person and by counsel, or to proceed without counsel when submitting evidence, to have a reasonable opportunity to inspect all documentary evidence, to cross-examine witnesses, to present evidence and witnesses in support of his/her interests, and to have subpoenas issued by the Administrative Law Judge to compel attendance of witnesses and production of evidence. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(B), any person may appear on his or her own behalf or by counsel.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(E), a clear and accurate record of the proceedings will be made by a court reporter or by electronic means. Any party that requests a transcript of the proceedings shall pay the cost of the transcript for the court reporter or other transcriber.

Questions concerning issues raised in this Notice of Hearing should be directed to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, (602) 542-8889, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

NOTICE OF APPLICABLE RULES

On February 7, 1978, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions (the "Department") adopted A.A.C. R20-4-1201 through R20-4-1220, which were amended September 12, 2001, setting forth the rules of practice and procedure applicable in contested cases and appealable agency actions before the Superintendent. The hearing will be conducted pursuant to these rules and the rules governing procedures before the Office of Administrative Hearings, A.A.C. R2-19-101 through R2-19-122. A copy of these rules is enclosed.

Pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209, Petitioners shall file a written answer within twenty (20) days after issuance of this Notice of Hearing. The answer shall briefly state the Petitioners' position or defense and shall specifically admit or deny each of the assertions contained in this Notice of Hearing. If the answering Petitioners are without or are unable to reasonably obtain knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of an assertion, Petitioners shall so state, which shall have the effect of a denial. Any assertion not denied is deemed admitted. When Petitioners intend to deny only a part or a qualification of an assertion, or to qualify an assertion, Petitioners shall expressly admit so much of it as is true and shall deny the remainder. Any defense not raised in the answer is deemed waived.

If a timely answer is not filed, pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209(D), Petitioners will be deemed in default and the Superintendent may deem the allegations in this Notice of Hearing as true and admitted and the Superintendent may take whatever action is appropriate, including suspension or revocation of Petitioners' license and imposition of a civil penalty or restitution to any injured party.

Petitioners' answer shall be mailed or delivered to the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 310, Phoenix, Arizona 85018, with a copy mailed or delivered to the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 and to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, Consumer Protection & Advocacy Section, Attorney General's Office, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative formats, or assistance with physical accessibility. Requests for special accommodations must be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodations. If accommodations are required, call the Office of Administrative Hearings at (602) 542-9826.

FACTS

Petitioner Pacific Coast Mortgage, Inc.#1 (hereinafter "Pacific Coast #1") is an
 Arizona corporation authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage banker, license number

BK 0905081, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 6-941, et seq. The nature of Pacific Coast #1's business is that of making, negotiating, or offering to make or negotiate a mortgage banking loan or a mortgage loan secured by Arizona real property within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-941(5).

- 2. Petitioner Zachary P. Arnold ("Mr. Arnold") is the President of Pacific Coast #1 and is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage banker within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-941(5), as outlined within A.R.S. § 6-943(F).
- 3. Pacific Coast #1 and Mr. Arnold are not exempt from licensure as mortgage bankers within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 6-942 and 6-941(5).
- 4. An examination of Pacific Coast #1 conducted by the Department, beginning January 14, 2008 and concluding March 12, 2008, revealed that Pacific Coast #1 and Mr. Arnold:
 - a. Failed to include their name and license number as issued on the mortgage banker's principal place of business license within the text of all regulated advertising or business solicitations and by failing to fully comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated under these acts, specifically:
 - Petitioners' advertising is missing mortgage banking license number
 BK0905081 on at least nine (9) website advertisements; and
 - ii. Petitioners failed to review all advertisements to ensure compliance;
 - b. Solicited and transacted business using an unapproved name, specifically:
 - i. Petitioners used an unapproved name on at least five (5) website advertisements; and
 - Petitioners failed to review all advertisements and website domain names to ensure compliance;
 - c. Failed to conduct the minimum elements of reasonable employee investigations prior to hiring employees, specifically:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

i.	Failed to obtain a completed Employment Eligibility				
	Verification (Form I-9) before hiring at least three (3) employees;				

- ii. Failed to obtain a dated I-9 before hiring at least ten (10) employees;
- iii. I-9 completed after hire date on at least two (2) employees;
- iv. I-9 stale dated on at least two (2) employees;
- Failed to collect and review all of the documents authorized by the
 Immigration and Control Act of 1986 before hiring at least seven (7)
 employees;
- vi. Failed to obtain a timely completed and signed employment application before hiring at least four (4) employees;
- vii. Failed to consult with an applicant's most recent or next most recent employer before hiring at least forty (40) employees, on at least four (4) stale dated, and on at least one (1) not dated;
- viii. Failed to inquire regarding an applicant's qualifications and competence before hiring at least fifteen (15) employees; on at least two (2) stale dated, and on at least one (1) not dated;
- ix. Failed to obtain a current credit report from a credit reporting agency before hiring at least five (5) employees, and
- x. Failed to obtain a timely signed statement attesting to all of an applicant's felony convictions, including detailed information regarding each conviction before hiring at least three (3) employees, and three (3) not dated;
- d. Failed to maintain originals or copies of loan transactions, specifically:
 - The application was missing from at least one (1) mortgage loan file;
 - ii. The application date was missing from at least one (1) mortgage loan application; and

- iii. Failed to correct these violations from their last examination;
- e. Failed to comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated under these acts, specifically:
 - i. Pacific Coast #1 does not document the date its "Servicing Transfer Disclosure" document is presented to the borrower. Without a documented date on this disclosure, the examiners are unable to determine if these disclosures were presented to the borrowers within the required three (3) days of application date;
 - ii. A Servicing Transfer Disclosure was missing from at least three (3) mortgage loan files and at least four (4) disclosures that were not presented to the borrowers within the required three (3) days of application date;
 - iii. Failed to sign and date one (1) Good Faith Estimate ("GFE"); at least two (2) disclosures that were not presented to the borrowers within the required three (3) days of application date, and at least three (3) with the yield spread premiums missing from the GFE;
 - iv. A Truth-in-Lending Disclosure was missing from at least two (2) mortgage loan files, and at least two (2) disclosures that were not presented to the borrowers within the required three (3) days of application date; and
 - v. Failed to correct these violations from their last examination;
- f. Allowed borrowers to sign regulated documents containing blank spaces, specifically:
 - i. Pacific Coast #1 has a statutorily correct "Consent to Complete
 Documents" disclosure; however, it is improperly used.

The disclosure contains a standardized form which includes as "DOCUMENT(S):" the "Uniform Residential Loan Application – Form 1003," and as "SPECIFIC PROVISION TO BE COMPLETED:," "Any, except Section VIII, Section X, and all signatures," whether or not these spaces listed in the disclosure for this document were intentionally left blank. To list "all signatures" as a space to be left blank is improper as the purpose of this disclosure is to advise borrowers that they are not required to sign any documents which contain blanks spaces;

- ii. Pacific Coast #1 also failed to list any other documents/disclosures
 requiring signatures which consistently contained blank spaces to be
 completed at a later date; and
- iii. Failed to correct these violations from their last examination;
- g. Contracted with or paid compensation to unlicensed, independent contractors; specifically:
 - i. Petitioners paid co-broker fees to the following mortgage broker companies which were not licensed in Arizona during 2006:

1.	Zenith Financial Mortgage	\$ 6,990.00		
2.	Burns Financial	\$ 7,067.60		
3.	. Nu-Start Financial \$ 3,03			
4.	Quantum Bancorp	\$ 5,844.38		
5.	Tristar Lending	\$ 3,200.00		
6.	La Jolla Wealth Management	\$17,149.44		
7.	Ocean Mortgage	\$29,736.56		
8.	Viva Financial Group, Inc.	\$ 2,300.00		
9.	Metro Express Realty and			
	Mortgage	\$ 7,893.80		

1			10.	Maher Financial Group, Inc.	\$ 750.00	
2		ii. Petitioners paid co-broker fees to the following mortgage brokers				
3		companies which were not licensed in Arizona during 2007:				
4			1.	California Equities	\$5,028.80	
5			2.	Zenith Financial Mortgage	\$3,600.50	
6			3.	Equity National Funding	\$4,362.81	
7			4.	Burns Financial	\$8,373.10	
8			5.	Kevin Richards	\$1,310.00	
9		iii.	Failed	to correct these violations from the	neir last examination;	
10	h.	Faile	d to main	ntain correct and complete trust su	bsidiary ledgers/verification,	
11		speci	fically:			
		i.	Petitio	oners' trust subsidiary ledger is mi	ssing the date on which the	
12			license	ee received the advance fees from	the borrower;	
13	i.	Faile	d to imm	ediately deposit advances or fees	into a trust account;	
14	j.	Made	e false p	romises, misrepresentations, or c	oncealed essential or material	
15		facts	in the co	urse of the mortgage banker busir	ess, specifically:	
16		i.	A rev	view of ten (10) mortgage lo	an files shows a series of	
17			misrep	presentations, including misrepre	sentations of owner occupied	
18			reside	nces, rental properties, employme	ent, gross monthly income, net	
19	Address of the second s		rental	income, net worth, and the discle	osure of properties owned, and	
20			proper	rty addresses, specifically:		
21		1.	Lende	r Case Number 06-25137-013; and	d 06-25164-013;	
22		2.	Lende	r Case Number 06-25451-013; an	d 06-25452-013;	
23		3.	Lende	r Case Number 06-25623-013;		
24		4.	Lende	r Case Number 07-27021-013;		
25		5.	Lende	r Case Number 07-27061-013; and	1 07-27038-013;	
26		6.	Lende	r Case Number 07-28762-013; and	1	

- 7. Lender Case Number 06-24216-013.
- k. Misrepresented the truthfulness, honesty and character of two (2) principals of Pacific Coast #1 by failing to disclose final judgments against the principals and their company in personal history statements, filed with the Department, specifically:
 - i. On June 19, 2006, the Department received correspondence from Teledraft, Inc., requesting permission to purchase fifty percent (50%) of Pacific Coast #1. The three (3) principals of Teledraft, Inc. included personal history statements with this request which failed to disclose a lawsuit brought by the Iowa State Attorney General's Office against Teledraft, Inc. and two (2) of its principals. The charges included deceptive trade practices against elderly citizens of the State of Iowa. The case was settled through consent on December 9, 2005, including a judgment for the plaintiff for \$45,533.13 for restitution and a civil money penalty of \$200,000.00 against Teledraft, Inc. and its two (2) principals;
 - ii. Question 12 of the Department's personal history statement asks, "Has an order, injunction, or judgment, whether or not final, been entered against you in a civil action on account of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit?" The two (2) Teledraft, Inc. principals in question answered "no" to Question 12, without any further explanation. The Department approved the purchase of 50% of Pacific Coast #1 by Teledraft, Inc. without the benefit of having full knowledge of the principals assuming control; and
- 1. Failed to maintain the minimum required net worth of not less than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).

- 5. Based upon the above findings, the Department issued and served upon Pacific Coast #1 and Mr. Arnold an Order to Cease and Desist; Notice of Opportunity For Hearing; Consent to Entry of Order ("Cease and Desist Order") on July 15, 2008.
- 6. On July 28, 2008, Petitioners filed a Request For Hearing to appeal the Cease and Desist Order.

LAW

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-941, et seq., the Superintendent has the authority and duty to regulate all persons engaged in the mortgage banker business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules and regulations relating to mortgage bankers.
- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Findings of Facts, Pacific Coast Mortgage #1, and Mr. Arnold have violated the mortgage banker statutes and rules as follows:
 - a. A.R.S. § 6-943(N) and A.R.S. § 6-946(E) by advertising for or soliciting business in any manner without using the license number issued on the mortgage banker's principal place of business license and by failing to comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated under these acts;
 - b. A.R.S. § 6-943(N) by failing to use their proper name/and or approved names for their website addresses;
 - c. A.R.S. § 6-943(O) and A.A.C. R20-4-102, by failing to conduct the minimum elements of reasonable employee investigations prior to hiring employees;
 - d. A.R.S. § 6-946(A) and A.A.C. R20-4-1806(B)(6), by failing to maintain originals or copies of loan transactions;
 - e. A.R.S. § 6-946(E) and A.A.C. R20-4-1806(B)(6)(e), by failing to comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§

2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated under these acts;

- f. A.R.S. § 6-947(A) and A.A.C. R20-4-1808, by allowing borrowers to sign regulated documents containing blank spaces;
- g. A.R.S. § 6-947(B) and A.A.C. R20-4-102, by paying compensation to unlicensed, independent contractors;
- h. A.A.C. R20-4-1806(B)(5), by failing to maintain correct and complete trust subsidiary ledgers/verification;
- i. A.R.S. § 6-946(C), by failing to immediately deposit advances or fees into a trust account;
- j. A.R.S. § 6-947(L), by making false promises, misrepresentations, or concealing essential or material facts in the course of the mortgage banker business;
- k. A.R.S. § 6-945(A), by making misrepresentations about the truthfulness, honesty and character of two (2) principals of Pacific Coast #1 failing to disclose final judgments against the principals and their company in personal history statements; and
- 1. A.R.S. § 6-943(C)(5), by failing to maintain the minimum required net worth of not less than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).
- 3. The violations, set forth above, constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Petitioners to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Petitioners' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-945; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage bankers pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

WHEREFORE, if after a hearing, the Superintendent makes a finding of one or more of the above-described violations, the Superintendent may affirm the July 15, 2008, Cease and

1	Desist Order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137; impose a civil money penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132;					
2	suspend or revoke Petitioners' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-945; and order any other remedy					
3	necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage bankers pursuant					
4	to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.					
5						
6	DATED this 20 day of Auust, 2008.					
7	Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Financial Institutions					
8	Supermendent of Financial institutions					
9	21tolla					
10	Robert D. Charlton					
11	Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions					
12	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 304h					
13	day of <u>August</u> , 2008, in the office of:					
14	Felecia A. Rotellini					
15	Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions					
16	ATTN: Susan L. Longo 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310					
17	Phoenix, AZ 85018					
18	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered same date to:					
19	Administrative Law Judge Brian Tully					
20	Office of the Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101					
21	Phoenix, AZ 85007					
22	Craig A. Raby Assistant Attorney General					
23	Office of the Attorney General 1275 West Washington					
24	Phoenix, AZ 85007					
25	•••					

1	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent Judi Moss, Senior Examiner
2	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
3	Phoenix, AZ 85018
4	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Regular Mail and Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:
5	
6	Zachary P. Arnold, President Pacific Coast Mortgage, Inc. #1
7	6991 E. Camelback Road, Suite C-250 Scottsdale, AZ 85251
8	Petitioners
9	Zachary P. Arnold, President Pacific Coast Mortgage, Inc. #1
10	2266 S. Dobson Road, Suite 200 Mesa, AZ 85202
11	Petitioners
12	John Metz, Statutory Agent for: Pacific Coast Mortgage, Inc. #1
13	2320 W. Peoria Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85029
14	n Munn I Long a
15	By: August Lings PHX-AGN-2008-0285; 263813
16	
17	
18	,
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	